Instructions

**Your role**: Imagine you are a ***bureaucratic official*** who must draft a ***new policy***. You want to make sure that this policy reflects ***the best and most accurate information available***. Thus, you have shared your draft policy with potentially affected societal actors and asked for their ***feedback*** as part of a public consultation.

**Your task**: We show you excerpts from the stakeholder responses to your consultation. ***Rate how useful each comment excerpt is for helping you to take the best factual decision possible***. Ignore whether you agree with the stance or values expressed. Focus only on the ***quality of information*** contained in the comment***.***

**Guidance**: High-quality information comes in many forms, and we know that you have little context. There are no clear right or wrong answers - ***only your interpretation in this scenario matters!***

Just judging by the text examples that you see on the right-hand side, ***do you think that the feedback is valuable for an official who is trying to make an evidence-based decision and design an efficient and effective policy?***

To make your assessment easier, we split the task into more specific questions before asking for your overall assessment on whether this information could be useful for a bureaucratic policymaker.

Your task progress: 0/300 (0%)

Your assessments are saved on every text example. **You can close and later reload the app whenever you need a break.** Many thanks for your help!

We are happy to provide more information about the research context once the coding is complete. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact us via [Email](mailto:rauh@wzb.eu).

How would you rate the following aspects describing this comment:

1. **Information Richness**: Is the comment rather simplistic, or does it provide a wealth of information?

*Very simplistic Rather simplistic Moderately rich in information Rather high in information  Very high in information*

(Dim 1 in our paper: information richness)

1. **Analytic quality**: Is this mostly opinion and anecdote, or does it provide clear analytical arguments backed by factual and checkable claims?

*Entirely opinion & anecdote  Mostly opinion and anecdote Mixed opinion and facts Mostly factual with checkable claims Entirely factual with checkable claims*

(Dim 2 in our paper measured by syntactic complexity. Taps slightly into dimension 4 that highlights factual and concrete info)

**3. Relevance**: Does the comment appear as vague or off-topic, or does it directly address a policy issue?

*Very vague and off-topic Somewhat vague and off topic Moderately on-topic Mostly direct and on-topic Very direct and on topic*

(Taps into the idea of policy orientation that underlines dim 3 (legal terminology) and dim 4 (factual, concrete info) in our paper)

1. **Specificity 1**: Is the comment employing rather general and unspecific words, or does it provide concrete and detailed legal information/terminology?

*Very general and unspecific Rather general and unspeciifc l Moderately concrete de detailed legal  Rather concrete and detailed legal Very legal*

(Taps into Dim 3 in our paper)

1. **Specificity 2: To what extent does the comment contain factual and concrete information?**

**Very little Rather little Moderate Rather high Very high**

(Taps into Dim 4 in our paper)

1. **Overall information quality**: How useful is the comment for an official who is trying to engage in evidence-based policymaking and design an efficient and effective policy?

How would you score the overall information quality of the comment for an official who is trying to engage in evidence-based policymaking and design an efficient and effective policy?

*Very low Rather low Moderate Rather high Very high*